

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. But 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/725,310	12/01/2003	Satoshi Okano	KON-1837	2916	
20313	7590 03/03/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MUSERLIAN, LUCAS AND MERCANTI, LLP			LE, HOA VAN		
475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH 15TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK,	NY 10016		1752		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/725,310	OKANO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hoa V. Le	1752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dayed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/725,310 Page 2

Art Unit: 1752

This application is up for consideration.

A. In view of (1) the complexity of the claims as set up and clients being overseas, this

Office action is made.

B. Claims 1-12 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising

many possible additive species of (*) the general phosphate salts, (**) the general polyphosphate

salts, (***) the general formula (1), (****) the general formula (I), (****) the general formula

(II) and (*****) the general formula (III) with some of the selected compounds being specified

on page 13, line 6 to page 14, line 15 and pages 16 to 38. Applicant is required under 35

U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed compound species for an initiation of a search, even

though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

C. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a concentrated bleach-fixing composition, classified in

class 430, subclass 460.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,310

Art Unit: 1752

II. Claim 12, drawn to a method of bleach-fixing, classified in class 430, subclass393.

Page 3

Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process of bleach-fixing or desilvering as claimed can be practiced with one of many known bleaching compositions and one of many known fixing composition or known combined bleaching and fixing compositions or their commercial products. Applicants should show or provide a convincing evidence to the contrary. In the absence of convincing evidence, the restriction on the record would not be removed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired the separate status and searches in the art and can be supported the separate patents. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- D. An additional consideration or search for more than one invention or subclass in the art is burdensome.
- E. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/725,310

Art Unit: 1752

F. Other issues have not been considered until full and proper elections are made and

resolved.

G. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hoa V. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1332.

The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 4:30 PM on Monday though Thursday

and about the same time of most Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526.

Applicants may file a paper by (1) fax with a central facsimile receiving number 703-

872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoa V. Le Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 1752

HVL 01 March 2005

HOA VAN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER